

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JEROME L. GRIMES, No. C 13-80215M CW  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
AARON BUCHANAN, et al.,  
Defendants.

---

JEROME L. GRIMES, No. C 13-80220M CW  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
DOE, et al.,  
Defendants.

---

JEROME L. GRIMES, No. C 13-80221M CW  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
SGT. PENA, et al.,  
Defendants.

---

JEROME L. GRIMES, No. C 13-80222M CW  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
EXPRESS AUTOMOBILE PAWN, et al.,  
Defendants.

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

1 JEROME L. GRIMES; and LUCY MAE  
2 GRIMES, decedent,

No. C 13-80223M CW

3 Plaintiff,

4 v.

5 BRIAN SECEMSKY, et al.,

6 Defendants.

7 JEROME L. GRIMES; and LUCY MAE  
8 GRIMES, decedent,

No. C 13-80224M CW

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 GIDEON PRICE, et al.,

12 Defendants.

13 JEROME L. GRIMES,

No. C 13-80225M CW

14 Plaintiff,

15 v.

16 KING'S SECURITY SERVICES, et al.,

17 Defendants.

18 JEROME L. GRIMES,

No. C 13-80227M CW

19 Plaintiff,

20 v.

21 SAFEWAY GROCERY STORE, et al.,

22 Defendants.

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

1 JEROME L. GRIMES,

No. C 13-80232M CW

2 Plaintiff,

3 v.

4 ALEX LILIEN, et al.,

5 Defendants.

6

---

7 JEROME L. GRIMES,

No. C 13-80233M CW

8 Plaintiff,

9 v.

10 GERMAN WOODS, et al.,

11 Defendants.

12

---

13 On December 9, 2005, this Court entered a pre-filing order  
14 regarding the cases filed by Plaintiff Jerome Grimes. The pre-  
15 filing order states that if Mr. Grimes files a complaint that is  
16 "related to any of the following matters:

17 (1) a diversified group of individuals who commit acts  
18 of terror against Mr. Grimes, his family and other  
19 citizens;

20 (2) an injunction against the defendants to prevent them  
21 from kidnaping, framing, falsely imprisoning or  
otherwise terrorizing Mr. Grimes, his family, and other  
citizens;

22 (3) a court order for the defendants to be subjected to  
23 a lie detector test;

24 (4) covert terrorism

25 it will not be filed unless it presents cognizable claims that are  
not based on merely conclusory allegations. Second, no other  
26 complaints filed by Mr. Grimes while he is not incarcerated or  
27

1 detained will be filed unless they contain intelligible factual  
2 allegations and claims for relief."

3 The Court has reviewed the above-captioned complaints filed  
4 by Mr. Grimes and finds that they shall not be filed for the  
5 reasons stated below.

6 Complaints C13-80215M and C13-80233M allege that Defendants  
7 are engaged in covert terrorism and that they conspired with a  
8 diverse group of others to commit acts of terror against Mr.  
9 Grimes and others. They also seek court orders for Defendants to  
10 be subjected to a lie detector test. Mr. Grimes alleges no  
11 cognizable causes of action in these complaints.

12 Complaints C13-80220M, 13-80221M, 13-80225M, 13-80227M and  
13 13-80232M allege that Defendants framed Mr. Grimes and falsely  
14 imprisoned him. They also seek court orders for Defendants to be  
15 subjected to a lie detector test. Mr. Grimes alleges no  
16 cognizable causes of action in these complaints.

17 Complaint C13-80222M seeks a court order for Defendants to be  
18 subjected to a lie detector test. Mr. Grimes alleges no  
19 cognizable cause of action in this complaint.

20 Complaints C13-80223M and 13-80224M were filed by Mr. Grimes,  
21 on behalf of himself and a decedent, Lucy Mae Grimes. The  
22 complaints involve allegations of Defendants kidnaping, framing  
23 and falsely imprisoning Mr. Grimes and his family. They also seek  
24 court orders for all Defendants to be subjected to a lie detector  
25 test. Mr. Grimes alleges no cognizable causes of action in these  
26 complaints. In addition, pro se litigants are not permitted to  
27  
28

1 represent others in federal court and thus Mr. Grimes may not  
2 bring claims on behalf of Ms. Grimes or her estate.<sup>1</sup>

3 Because the above-captioned complaints concern multiple  
4 matters mentioned in the pre-filing order and present no  
5 cognizable causes of action, the Clerk of the Court is ordered not  
6 to file them. Instead, the complaints shall be returned to Mr.  
7 Grimes.

8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9  
10 Dated: 11/18/2013

  
CLAUDIA WILKEN  
United States District Judge

26 \_\_\_\_\_  
27 <sup>1</sup> Mr. Grimes appears to be attempting to bring the claims on  
28 behalf of Ms. Grimes directly and not on behalf of her estate. He  
does not allege that he is the personal representative of her  
estate.